

**Rubric: Proposal for Informatics Components of the DNP Project
30% of Class Grade**

* Part 1 of Informatics Proposal

** Part 2 of Informatics Proposal

Criteria	Levels of Achievement		
	Exemplary	Competent - Missing Minor Details and Depth	Not Yet Competent - Missing Important Information
* 1. Clear statement of the EBP question and PICOT definitions.	EBP question for the project is articulated clearly and PICOT definitions are detailed and precise. [10 points]	EBP question for the project is articulated in the correct format but PICOT definitions are too broad/vague. [8 points]	Format of the EBP question is incorrect; PICOT definitions are too broad/vague. [6 points]
* 2. Practice setting including the population of interest	Description of the practice including the population of interest is complete and includes data from the practice setting to support and define the scope of the problem. Work flow diagram(s) that show current work processes are included. [10 points]	Description of the practice settings and population of interest are present; the data included is inconclusive and does not define the scope of the problem. [8 points]	Description of the practice settings and population of interest are present; no data from the practice setting is included. [6 points]
* 3. Description of the data sources used to define the problem in the practice setting (e.g. health information, decision support, communication and connectivity, patient support, administrative processes, reporting mechanisms etc.).	Description of each of the data sources used to define the problem in the practice setting is complete and includes the variables that used to define and evaluate the problem. [15 points]	Description of the data sources and/or variable used to define and evaluate the problem is incomplete. [12 points]	Major omissions in the description of the data sources and/or variables. [8 points]

Criteria	Levels of Achievement		
	Exemplary	Competent - Missing Minor Details and Depth	Not Yet Competent - Missing Important Information
**4. Proposed informatics approach to the problem	Proposed approach Incorporates Information Systems/Technology and Patient Care Technology that are supported by a minimum of 5 articles/sources to support your informatics approach. Includes appropriate work flow diagram(s) that show how your proposed solution improves the processes. [15 points]	Proposed approach contains minor omissions in the description of information systems and patient care technology and is supported by 3-4 articles/sources. Work flow diagram(s) for the proposed solution are included. [12 points]	Proposed approach contains major omissions in the description of information systems and patient care technology and is supported by fewer than 3 articles/sources. Work flow diagram(s) for the proposed solution are included. [8 points]
** 5. Application of model/theory to guide the informatics approach	The proposal demonstrates accurate use of an appropriate model or theory to guide the informatics approach. Your evaluation of the model's strengths and weaknesses for your informatics approach is clear and concise. [10 points]	You demonstrate some use of Gassert's model (or an agreed-on alternative) to analyze system requirements but your evaluation of the model's strengths and weaknesses for your purposes is inadequate. [8 points]	You have not made an effort to use either Gassert's or an agreed-on model for identifying system requirements. [6 points]
** 6. Potential issues (privacy, security, ethical, legal, social, economic impact) are identified and solutions proposed	The proposal identifies all relevant potential issues that influence your informatics approach based on your literature search. [10 points]	Your proposal fails to identify some significant relevant issues; but does propose appropriate solutions for those issues identified, based on existing literature. [8 points]	Your proposal fails to identify many relevant issues and/or propose appropriate solutions based on existing literature, making successful implementation highly unlikely. [6 points]

Criteria	Levels of Achievement		
	Exemplary	Competent Missing Minor Details and Depth	Not Yet Competent Missing Important Information
**7. Feasibility of solution	The proposed solution is realistic and workable . Takes into account economic, organizational and environmental conditions and the constraints these place on the timeline for your project. [10 points]	Your proposed solution is not unrealistic, but more consideration of economic and environmental conditions would require modification of the solution. As it stands, solution may not accomplish your goals. [8 points]	Your proposed solution is not realistic, either because it does not conform to actual environmental or economic constraints or because it is too vaguely defined to allow the reader to understand its impact. [6 points]
Organization of the Proposal and Writing Style	The proposal is well organized, and includes all 7 components identified above. Figures, tables, and appendices enhance the presentation. Proposal has no spelling or grammatical errors. [10 points]	Your proposal has some interesting points, but is hard to follow. The style of the proposal is frequently inappropriate for the audience (e.g., use of jargon, level of formality). Some of the figures, tables and appendices detract from the proposal or are difficult to follow. [8 points]	Your proposal is disorganized to the extent that it prevents understanding of its contents. The style is inappropriate for the audience (e.g., use of jargon, level of formality). There is no executive summary and no conclusion. Figures, tables and appendices have errors, are redundant with text, or are missing. [6 points]
APA format is used correctly	APA format is correctly used throughout the proposal and references. [10 points]	Minor errors in the use of the APA format. [8 points]	Major errors in the use of the APA format throughout the paper. [4 points]
Total	100 points (100%)	80 points (80%)	56 points (56%)
Earned Points Total:			

Please note: 10 points will be deducted from the Proposal grade for each day the proposal is submitted after the due date and time.